

Anomaly Freedom and Realisations for Super- W_3 StringsH. Lu,^{*} C.N. Pope,^{*} X.J. Wang and K.W. Xu.[§]*Center for Theoretical Physics, Texas A&M University,
College Station, TX 77843-4242, USA.***ABSTRACT**

We construct new multi-field realisations of the $N = 2$ super- W_3 algebra, which are important for building super- W_3 string theories. We derive the structure of the ghost vacuum for such theories, and use the result to calculate the intercepts. These results determine the conditions for physical states in the super- W_3 string theory.

^{*} Supported in part by the U.S. Department of Energy, under grant DE-FG05-91ER40633.

[§] Supported by a World Laboratory Scholarship.

1. Introduction

Two-dimensional gravity is the gauge theory of the Virasoro algebra. In general, when this theory is quantised, the gauge symmetry is anomalous. The condition for anomaly freedom is that the matter fields should realise the Virasoro algebra with central charge $c = 26$. A convenient way to describe this is in the BRST formalism; the condition for anomaly freedom is equivalent to the requirement that the BRST charge Q be nilpotent. In fact the nilpotency condition determines not only that $c = 26$ but also that the intercept for L_0 is 1. In a critical string theory, the $c = 26$ matter system is realised by scalar fields which are interpreted as space-time coordinates. The physical states of the theory must satisfy the conditions

$$\begin{aligned} (L_0 - 1)|\text{phys}\rangle &= 0, \\ L_n|\text{phys}\rangle &= 0, \quad n > 0. \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

There exist various higher-spin extensions of the Virasoro algebra, known as W algebras. The gauge theories of these algebras give rise to extensions of two-dimensional gravity, known as W gravities. The quantum W gravity theories can again be conveniently described in the BRST formalism. Once more, anomaly freedom is guaranteed by the nilpotency of the BRST charge Q , which determines the central charge of the matter system. The nilpotency also determines the intercepts for the zero Laurent modes of all the currents in the algebra. For example, in the W_3 case [1], which has currents T and W of spins 2 and 3, the nilpotency of Q implies that the central charge $c = 100$, and that the intercepts for L_0 and W_0 are 4 and 0 respectively [2]. A two-scalar realisation of W_3 at arbitrary c , coming from the quantum Miura transformation, is given in [3]. Recently, a generalisation to an n -scalar realisation has been given in [4]. Using these results, anomaly-free W_3 gravity and critical W_3 string theory have been constructed [5,6]. It appears that the physical spectrum of the W_3 string contains no massless states [7].

It is natural to consider supersymmetric extensions of the W gravities and strings. Recently, the $N = 2$ super- W_3 algebra has been constructed; classically in [8], and at the quantum level in [9]. The quantum algebra exists for arbitrary values of the central charge c . A realisation of this algebra in terms of two complex $N = 2$ superfields has recently been constructed, for arbitrary c , by using the super-Miura transformation [10]. The spin content of the $N = 2$ super- W_3 algebra, grouped into $N = 2$ supermultiplets, is

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{cc} 1 & \frac{3}{2} \\ & 2 \end{array} \right\} \left\{ \begin{array}{cc} 2 & \frac{5}{2} \\ & 3 \end{array} \right\}. \tag{1.2}$$

Our notation for the currents of the $N = 2$ super- W_3 algebra is:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{cc} G^+ & \\ J & T \\ G^- & \end{array} \right\} \left\{ \begin{array}{cc} U^+ & \\ V & W \\ U^- & \end{array} \right\}. \tag{1.3}$$

The generalisation to the $N = 2$ super- W_n algebra has the spin content

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{cc} 1 & \frac{3}{2} \\ & 2 \end{array} \right\} \left\{ \begin{array}{cc} 2 & \frac{5}{2} \\ & 3 \end{array} \right\} \left\{ \begin{array}{cc} 3 & \frac{7}{2} \\ & 4 \end{array} \right\} \cdots \left\{ \begin{array}{cc} (n-1) & (n-\frac{1}{2}) \\ & n \end{array} \right\}. \quad (1.4)$$

In this paper we begin by reviewing the derivation of the two-complex-superfield realisation from the super-Miura transformation. Using this we then construct new realisations of $N = 2$ super- W_3 in terms of arbitrary numbers of superfields, for general values of c . These realisations are important for the construction of super- W_3 strings. In order to construct such theories that are anomaly free, it would be useful to know the BRST operator Q for the algebra. In particular, one could deduce the critical central charge c and the intercepts for the matter currents from the nilpotency of Q . The form of the BRST operator for this algebra is presently unknown; even for the relatively simple W_3 algebra the BRST operator is rather complicated [2]. Fortunately there are ways to determine the central charge and the intercepts without needing to know the explicit expression for the BRST operator. The critical central charge has been shown to be $c = 12$ [9]. In this paper, we show that the intercepts for the bosonic currents are all zero.

The organisation of this paper is as follows. In section 2, we review the construction of the two-complex superfield realisation of the super- W_3 algebra from the quantum Miura transformation. In section 3, we generalise this to multi-field realisations. In section 4 we derive the structure of the ghost vacuum for the $N = 2$ super- W_n algebras, and hence show that the L_0 intercept vanishes for all n . In section 5, we calculate the intercepts for the remaining currents of the super- W_3 algebra, by examining certain null states. The paper ends with conclusions and discussions in section 6.

2. Miura Realisation for the Super- W_3 Algebra

In this section, we review the discussion of ref. [10], where the two-complex-superfield realisation of $N = 2$ super- W_3 algebra was derived. In fact for the first part of this discussion we may consider the more general case of the $N = 2$ super- W_n algebra. Although we shall subsequently adopt an $N = 2$ superfield notation, the Miura transformation is most conveniently expressed in terms of $N = 1$ superfields [10].

Let L be the differential operator

$$L = u_n D^n + u_{n-2}(z, \theta) D^{n-2} + \cdots + u_1(z, \theta) D + u_0(z, \theta), \quad (2.1)$$

where z and θ are the bosonic and fermionic coordinates of a 2-dimensional superspace, and D is given by

$$D = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \theta \partial, \quad (2.2)$$

with $\partial \equiv \partial/\partial z$. The derivative D satisfies $D^2 = \partial$.

The Miura transformation for the super Lie algebra $A(n, n-1)$ has the form [10]

$$L = \left(\prod_{i=1}^n [(\alpha_0 D + D\Phi_{n+1-i} - \chi_{i-1})(\alpha_0 D + D\Phi_{n+1-i} - \chi_i)] \right) (\alpha_0 D - \chi_n) , \quad (2.3)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_0 &= 0 \\ \chi_i &= \sum_{k=1}^i D\bar{\Phi}_k(z, \theta) , \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

and the $N = 1$ superfields $\Phi_i(z, \theta)$ and $\bar{\Phi}_i(z, \theta)$ are given in terms of components by

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_i(z, \theta) &= \phi_i(z) + \theta\psi_i(z) , \\ \bar{\Phi}_i(z, \theta) &= \bar{\phi}_i(z) + \theta\bar{\psi}_i(z) . \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

The parameter α_0 is related to the background charge of the Feigin-Fuchs representation. Expanding out (2.3) in powers of D , and comparing with (2.1), one can read off the superfields $u_i(z, \theta)$.

Specialising to $n = 2$, one finds that the u_i for super- W_3 are given by [10]:

$$\begin{aligned} u_3(z, \theta) &= -D\Phi_1 D\bar{\Phi}_1 - D\Phi_2 D\bar{\Phi}_2 + \alpha_0 D^2\Phi_1 - \alpha_0 D^2\bar{\Phi}_1 + \alpha_0 D^2\Phi_2 - 2\alpha_0 D^2\bar{\Phi}_2 , \\ u_2(z, \theta) &= -D^2\Phi_1 D\bar{\Phi}_1 - D^2\Phi_2 D\bar{\Phi}_2 - \alpha_0 D^3\bar{\Phi}_1 - 2\alpha_0 D^3\bar{\Phi}_2 , \\ u_1(z, \theta) &= \alpha_0^3 D^4\Phi_1 - \alpha_0^3 D^4\bar{\Phi}_1 - \alpha_0^3 D^4\bar{\Phi}_2 - \alpha_0^2 D^3\Phi_1 D\bar{\Phi}_1 - \alpha_0^2 D\Phi_1 D^3\bar{\Phi}_1 \\ &\quad - \alpha_0^2 D^3\Phi_1 D\bar{\Phi}_2 - \alpha_0^2 D^2\Phi_2 D^2\bar{\Phi}_1 - \alpha_0^2 D^2\Phi_2 D^2\bar{\Phi}_2 + \alpha_0 D^2\bar{\Phi}_2 D^2\bar{\Phi}_1 \\ &\quad + \alpha_0^2 D^2\bar{\Phi}_2 D^2\bar{\Phi}_2 + \alpha_0^2 D^2\Phi_2 D^2\Phi_1 - \alpha_0^2 D^2\bar{\Phi}_2 D^2\Phi_1 - \alpha_0 D^2\Phi_2 D\Phi_1 D\bar{\Phi}_1 \\ &\quad + \alpha_0 D^2\bar{\Phi}_2 D\Phi_1 D\bar{\Phi}_1 + \alpha_0 D^2\bar{\Phi}_1 D\Phi_2 D\bar{\Phi}_2 + \alpha_0 D^2\bar{\Phi}_2 D\Phi_2 D\bar{\Phi}_2 \\ &\quad + \alpha_0 D^2\bar{\Phi}_1 D\bar{\Phi}_2 D\Phi_1 - \alpha_0 D^2\Phi_1 D\Phi_2 D\bar{\Phi}_2 + D\Phi_1 D\bar{\Phi}_1 D\Phi_2 D\bar{\Phi}_2 , \\ u_0(z, \theta) &= -\alpha_0^3 D^5\bar{\Phi}_1 - \alpha_0^3 D^5\Phi_2 - \alpha_0^2 D^4\Phi_1 D\bar{\Phi}_1 - \alpha_0^2 D^4\Phi_1 D\bar{\Phi}_2 - \alpha_0^2 D^2\Phi_1 D^3\bar{\Phi}_2 \\ &\quad - \alpha_0^2 D^2\Phi_2 D^3\bar{\Phi}_1 - \alpha_0^2 D^2\Phi_2 D^3\bar{\Phi}_2 + \alpha_0^2 D^2\bar{\Phi}_2 D^3\bar{\Phi}_1 + 2\alpha_0^2 D^3\bar{\Phi}_2 D^2\bar{\Phi}_2 \\ &\quad - \alpha_0^2 D^3\bar{\Phi}_1 D^2\Phi_1 + \alpha_0 D\Phi_2 D\bar{\Phi}_2 D^3\bar{\Phi}_2 + \alpha_0^2 D^3\bar{\Phi}_2 D^2\bar{\Phi}_1 + \alpha_0 D^3\bar{\Phi}_2 D\Phi_1 D\bar{\Phi}_1 \\ &\quad + \alpha_0 D^3\bar{\Phi}_1 D\Phi_2 D\bar{\Phi}_2 - \alpha_0 D^3\bar{\Phi}_1 D\Phi_1 D\bar{\Phi}_2 - \alpha_0 D^2\Phi_2 D^2\Phi_1 D\bar{\Phi}_1 \\ &\quad - \alpha_0 D^2\Phi_2 D^2\Phi_1 D\bar{\Phi}_2 + \alpha_0 D^2\bar{\Phi}_2 D^2\Phi_1 D\bar{\Phi}_1 - \alpha_0 D^2\Phi_1 D^2\bar{\Phi}_1 D\bar{\Phi}_2 \\ &\quad + \alpha_0 D^2\Phi_2 D^2\bar{\Phi}_1 D\bar{\Phi}_2 + \alpha_0 D^2\bar{\Phi}_2 D^2\Phi_2 D\bar{\Phi}_2 + D^2\Phi_1 D\bar{\Phi}_1 D\Phi_2 D\bar{\Phi}_2 \\ &\quad + D^2\Phi_2 D\bar{\Phi}_2 D\Phi_1 D\bar{\Phi}_1 , \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

after rescaling u_3 and u_2 by a factor of α_0^{-3} , and u_1 and u_0 by α_0^{-1} . We now introduce a second anticommuting coordinate $\tilde{\theta}$, and define $N = 2$ chiral superfields

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_i^+(z, \theta^+, \theta^-) &= (1 - i\tilde{\theta}D)\Phi_i(z, \theta) = \phi_i(z) + \sqrt{2}\theta^-\psi_i(z) - \theta^+\theta^-\partial\phi_i(z), \\ \Phi_i^-(z, \theta^+, \theta^-) &= (1 + i\tilde{\theta}D)\bar{\Phi}_i(z, \theta) = \bar{\phi}_i(z) + \sqrt{2}\theta^+\bar{\psi}_i(z) + \theta^+\theta^-\partial\bar{\phi}_i(z), \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

where $i = 1, 2$, and

$$\theta^\pm \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\theta \pm i\tilde{\theta}). \quad (2.8)$$

These superfields satisfy the chirality conditions

$$D^+\Phi_i^- = 0 = D^-\Phi_i^+, \quad (2.9)$$

where

$$D^\pm \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(D_\theta \pm iD_{\tilde{\theta}}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta^\mp} + \theta^\pm\partial, \quad (2.10)$$

with D_θ and $D_{\tilde{\theta}}$ given by (2.2). The derivatives D^+ and D^- satisfy $\{D^+, D^-\} = 2\partial$.

The fields $u_i(z, \theta)$ ($i = 0, 1, 2, 3$) can be assembled into the $N = 2$ supercurrents $T(z, \theta^+, \theta^-)$ and $\widetilde{W}(z, \theta^+, \theta^-)$ of the super- W_3 algebra:

$$\begin{aligned} T(z, \theta^+, \theta^-) &= \frac{1}{4}u_3(z, \theta) + \frac{1}{4}i\tilde{\theta}(2u_2(z, \theta) - Du_3(z, \theta)) \\ &= -\frac{1}{4}D^+\Phi_1^+D^-\Phi_1^- - \frac{1}{4}D^+\Phi_2^+D^-\Phi_2^- \\ &\quad + \frac{\alpha_0}{2}\partial\Phi_1^+ - \frac{\alpha_0}{2}\partial\Phi_1^- + \frac{\alpha_0}{2}\partial\Phi_2^+ - \alpha_0\partial\Phi_2^-, \end{aligned} \quad (2.11a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{W}(z, \theta^+, \theta^-) &= \frac{1}{4}u_1(z, \theta) + \frac{1}{4}i\tilde{\theta}(2u_0(z, \theta) - Du_1(z, \theta)) \\ &= \frac{\alpha_0^3}{4}\partial^2\Phi_1^+ - \frac{\alpha_0^3}{4}\partial^2\Phi_1^- - \frac{\alpha_0^3}{4}\partial^2\Phi_2^- - \frac{\alpha_0^2}{8}D^+\partial\Phi_1^+D^-\Phi_1^- \\ &\quad - \frac{\alpha_0^2}{8}D^+\Phi_1^+\partial D^-\Phi_1^- - \frac{\alpha_0^2}{8}D^+\partial\Phi_1^+D^-\Phi_2^- - \frac{\alpha_0^2}{4}\partial\Phi_2^+\partial\Phi_1^- \\ &\quad - \frac{\alpha_0^2}{4}\partial\Phi_2^+\partial\Phi_2^- + \frac{\alpha_0^2}{4}\partial\Phi_2^-\partial\Phi_1^- + \frac{\alpha_0^2}{4}\partial\Phi_2^-\partial\Phi_2^- \\ &\quad + \frac{\alpha_0^2}{4}\partial\Phi_2^+\partial\Phi_1^+ - \frac{\alpha_0^2}{4}\partial\Phi_2^-\partial\Phi_1^+ - \frac{\alpha_0}{8}\partial\Phi_2^+D^+\Phi_1^+D^-\Phi_1^- \\ &\quad + \frac{\alpha_0}{8}\partial\Phi_2^-D^+\Phi_1^+D^-\Phi_1^- + \frac{\alpha_0}{8}\partial\Phi_1^-D^+\Phi_2^+D^-\Phi_2^- + \frac{\alpha_0}{8}\partial\Phi_2^-D^+\Phi_2^+D^-\Phi_2^- \\ &\quad + \frac{\alpha_0}{8}\partial\Phi_1^-D^-\Phi_2^-D^+\Phi_1^+ - \frac{\alpha_0}{8}\partial\Phi_1^+D^+\Phi_2^+D^-\Phi_2^- \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{16}D^+\Phi_1^+D^-\Phi_1^-D^+\Phi_2^+D^-\Phi_2^-. \end{aligned} \quad (2.11b)$$

These supercurrents have (quasi) conformal spins 1 and 2 respectively, and may be expanded in components as

$$\begin{aligned} T(z, \theta^+, \theta^-) &= \frac{1}{2}J(z) - \frac{1}{2}\theta^+G^-(z) + \frac{1}{2}\theta^-G^+(z) + \theta^+\theta^-T(z), \\ \widetilde{W}(z, \theta^+, \theta^-) &= \widetilde{V}(z) + \theta^+\widetilde{U}^-(z) + \theta^-\widetilde{U}^+(z) + \theta^+\theta^-\widetilde{W}(z). \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

The component fields (J, G^-, G^+, T) , and $(\tilde{V}, \tilde{U}^-, \tilde{U}^+, \tilde{W})$ have (quasi) conformal spins $(1, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, 2)$ and $(2, \frac{5}{2}, \frac{5}{2}, 3)$ respectively, as measured by the energy-momentum tensor $T(z)$. The tildes on the spin-2 $N = 2$ supermultiplet denote the fact that the corresponding component fields are only quasi-primary, and not primary. In subsequent sections, we shall find it convenient to add terms built from the component fields of the T multiplet to the components of the W multiplet, in order to construct primary currents. However, for the present purposes of simply exhibiting a realisation of the super- W_3 algebra, this point is inessential, and we shall postpone further discussion of it for now. The detailed expressions for the primary currents are given in the Appendix.

3. Multi-field Realisations for Super- W_3 Strings

In the case of the bosonic W_3 algebra, the problem of constructing multi-scalar realisations was considered in [4]. The approach taken in [4] was to write down an ansatz for the most general possible W_3 currents that could be built from n scalars, and then solve the conditions on the undetermined coefficients that result from demanding that the currents generate the algebra. The final conclusion in that case was that realisations could be obtained for arbitrary numbers of scalars [4]. A remarkable feature of all of these realisations is that they can be viewed as being built from one special scalar, say φ_1 , together with an energy-momentum tensor $\mathcal{T}$ for the remaining $(n - 1)$ scalars (which may have background charges). Thus all but one of the scalars in the n -scalar realisation of W_3 enter only *via* their stress tensor [4]. In fact, the only relevant property of the stress tensor $\mathcal{T}$ is that it must generate the Virasoro algebra with an appropriate central charge, which must be correlated with the background charge for the distinguished scalar φ_1 . The details of what is “inside” $\mathcal{T}$ are otherwise inessential. The multi-scalar realisations can in fact be built by taking the standard two-scalar Miura realisation for W_3 , and replacing the terms involving the second scalar φ_2 of that realisation, which appears only *via* its stress tensor, by a general stress tensor $\mathcal{T}$ with equivalent “external” characteristics.

It is natural, therefore, to look for multi-field realisations for the $N = 2$ super- W_3 algebra by using a similar approach. As we shall show, the essential feature that enables us to find multi-field realisations is that it turns out that the superfields Φ_1^+ and Φ_1^- in (2.11a,b) enter the T and $\tilde{W}$ supercurrents only *via* their super stress tensor. Thus, in a manner analogous to that for the bosonic W_3 case, one may then replace this super stress tensor by a more general one with equivalent external characteristics.

In an obvious notation, we may write the T supercurrent (2.11a) as the sum of terms:

$$T(z, \theta^+, \theta^-) = T_1 + T_2, \quad (3.1)$$

where T_1 denotes the part that involves only the $\Phi_1^\pm$ fields, and T_2 denotes the part that involves only the $\Phi_2^\pm$ fields. Note that since $\Phi_1^\pm$ and $\Phi_2^\pm$ commute, it follows that T_1 and

T_2 commute. Each independently generates the $N = 2$ superconformal algebra, with central charges

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 &= 3(1 + 2\alpha_0^2), \\ c_2 &= 3(1 + 4\alpha_0^2), \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

respectively.

We find that the $\widetilde{W}$ supercurrent can be written as:

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{W} &= -\frac{1}{4}\alpha_0^3\partial^2\Phi_2^- + \frac{1}{4}\alpha_0^2(\partial\Phi_2^-)^2 - \frac{1}{4}\alpha_0^2\partial\Phi_2^+\partial\Phi_2^- + \frac{1}{8}\alpha_0\partial\Phi_2^-D^+\Phi_2^+D^-\Phi_2^- \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}\alpha_0^2\partial T_1 - \frac{1}{2}\alpha_0\partial\Phi_2^-T_1 + \frac{1}{2}\alpha_0\partial\Phi_2^+T_1 + \frac{1}{4}\alpha_0D^-\Phi_2^-D^+T_1 - \frac{1}{4}D^+\Phi_2^+D^-\Phi_2^-T_1, \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

where products of the supercurrent T_1 and the superfields are normal ordered with respect to the superfields in the products and those in the supercurrent T_1 . Since Φ_1 and Φ_2 commute, it follows that the only property of T_1 that is relevant is that it generates the $N = 2$ superconformal algebra with central charge c_1 given by (3.2). Thus we may generalise to an arbitrary p -complex-superfield realisation in which T_1 is replaced by a stress tensor $\mathcal{T}$ with the same central charge, but built from $p - 1$ complex superfields:

$$\mathcal{T} = -\frac{1}{4}D^+\Phi_1^+D^-\Phi_1^- + \frac{1}{2}\beta_0\partial\Phi_1^+ - \frac{1}{2}\beta_0\partial\Phi_1^- - \frac{1}{4}\sum_{\mu=3}^p D^+\Phi_\mu^+D^-\Phi_\mu^- . \quad (3.4)$$

Here we have, without loss of generality, chosen the necessary background charge to lie in the Φ_1 direction. The background-charge parameter β_0 should satisfy

$$\beta_0^2 = \alpha_0^2 + \frac{1}{2}(2 - p), \quad (3.5)$$

in order that $\mathcal{T}$ have the correct central charge c_1 given by (3.2). The total central charge of the p -superfield realisation of $N = 2$ super- W_3 algebra is then

$$c = 6(1 + 3\alpha_0^2). \quad (3.6)$$

The corresponding currents $T(z, \theta^+, \theta^-)$ and $\widetilde{W}(z, \theta^+, \theta^-)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} T &= -\frac{1}{4}D^+\Phi_2^+D^-\Phi_2^- + \frac{\alpha_0}{2}\partial\Phi_2^+ - \alpha_0\partial\Phi_2^- + \mathcal{T}, \\ \widetilde{W} &= -\frac{1}{4}\alpha_0^3\partial^2\Phi_2^- + \frac{1}{4}\alpha_0^2(\partial\Phi_2^-)^2 - \frac{1}{4}\alpha_0^2\partial\Phi_2^+\partial\Phi_2^- + \frac{1}{8}\alpha_0\partial\Phi_2^-D^+\Phi_2^+D^-\Phi_2^- \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}\alpha_0^2\partial\mathcal{T} - \frac{1}{2}\alpha_0\partial\Phi_2^-\mathcal{T} + \frac{1}{2}\alpha_0\partial\Phi_2^+\mathcal{T} + \frac{1}{4}\alpha_0D^-\Phi_2^-D^+\mathcal{T} - \frac{1}{4}D^+\Phi_2^+D^-\Phi_2^-\mathcal{T}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

with $\mathcal{T}$ defined by (3.4). The prescription for replacing $\widetilde{W}$ by a primary supercurrent is given in the Appendix.

4. The Ghost Vacuum and the L_0 Intercept

We are interested in determining the conditions for anomaly freedom for the super- W_3 algebra. As we remarked in the introduction, one way to do this would be to construct the BRST operator, and find the conditions under which it would be nilpotent. This would determine the critical value for the central charge, and also the values for the intercepts of the bosonic generators in the algebra. However, since the construction of the BRST operator is likely to be very complicated, we prefer for now to obtain the anomaly-free conditions by other means. For the intercepts, we shall do this by first using a ghost-counting argument to obtain the value of the L_0 intercept for the Virasoro generators. Then, in the next section, by constructing physical states with zero norm (“spurious states”), we shall obtain results for the intercepts of the remaining bosonic generators. We shall also give an argument that determines the value of the critical central charge.

The calculation of the L_0 intercept can in fact be performed quite generally for a wide class of extended conformal algebras. In [11], results were obtained for all the W_n algebras. In this section, we begin by examining the W_n case, and then we go on to discuss the generalisation to the $N = 2$ super- W_n algebras.

The physical states in string theory or W_n string theory have the form

$$|\text{phys}\rangle = |p\rangle_{\text{mat}} \otimes |0\rangle_{\text{gh}}, \quad (4.1)$$

where $|p\rangle_{\text{mat}}$ denotes physical states in the matter sector, and $|0\rangle_{\text{gh}}$ denotes the ghost vacuum. Physical states must satisfy the condition that $L_m|\text{phys}\rangle = 0$ for $m \geq 0$, where $L_m = L_m^{\text{mat}} + L_m^{\text{gh}}$ is the direct sum of Virasoro generators in the matter and ghost sectors. Thus the intercept a in the matter sector, defined by $(L_0^{\text{mat}} - a)|p\rangle_{\text{mat}} = 0$, can be calculated from

$$L_0^{\text{gh}}|0\rangle_{\text{gh}} = -a|0\rangle_{\text{gh}}. \quad (4.2)$$

The ghost vacuum $|0\rangle_{\text{gh}}$ is constructed from the $SL(2, C)$ -invariant vacuum $|0\rangle$. For the W_n algebra, which has currents of each spin $2 \leq s \leq n$, one has a ghost field $c^{(s)}(z)$ and an antighost field $b^{(s)}(z)$ for each current. These may be expanded in terms of their Laurent modes $c_m^{(s)}$ and $b_m^{(s)}$, which satisfy the anti-commutation relations $\{b_p^{(s)}, c_q^{(s')}\} = \delta^{ss'} \delta_{p+q, 0}$:

$$\begin{aligned} c^{(s)}(z) &= \sum_n c_n^{(s)} z^{-n+s-1}, \\ b^{(s)}(z) &= \sum_n b_n^{(s)} z^{-n-s}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

The $SL(2, C)$ -invariant vacuum is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} b_p^{(s)}|0\rangle &= 0, \quad p \geq -s + 1, \\ c_p^{(s)}|0\rangle &= 0, \quad p \geq s. \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

The lowest-energy state, the true ghost vacuum $|0\rangle_{\text{gh}}$, can be built by acting on the $SL(2, C)$ -invariant vacuum with the product of all the $c_p^{(s)}$ modes with positive p that do not annihilate $|0\rangle$:

$$|0\rangle_{\text{gh}} \equiv \prod_{s=2}^n \prod_{p=1}^{s-1} c_p^{(s)} |0\rangle. \quad (4.5)$$

This ghost vacuum has the property, as it must, that

$$b_p^{(s)} |0\rangle_{\text{gh}} = 0, \quad c_p^{(s)} |0\rangle_{\text{gh}} = 0, \quad p \geq 1, \quad (4.6)$$

whilst $b_p^{(s)}$ and $c_p^{(s)}$ do not annihilate the ghost vacuum if $p \leq -1$.

Note that we can rewrite (4.5) as

$$|0\rangle_{\text{gh}} \equiv \prod_{s=2}^n \prod_{p=0}^{s-2} \partial^p c^{(s)}(0) |0\rangle, \quad (4.7)$$

where the zero argument indicates that we set the argument z of the normal-ordered product of ghost fields to zero. This expression can now be written in a very elegant form, by bosonising the (b, c) ghost systems. We do this by introducing a real scalar field $\phi^{(s)}$ for the bosonised $(b^{(s)}, c^{(s)})$ ghost system for each bosonic spin s current, and using the bosonisation rule

$$b^{(s)} \rightarrow e^{-i\phi^{(s)}}, \quad c^{(s)} \rightarrow e^{i\phi^{(s)}}. \quad (4.8)$$

Since these must have conformal spins s and $(1-s)$ respectively, it follows that the energy-momentum tensor for $\phi^{(s)}$ takes the form

$$T_\phi^{(s)} = -\frac{1}{2}(\partial\phi^{(s)})^2 + iQ_s \partial^2 \phi^{(s)}, \quad (4.9)$$

where the background-charge parameter Q_s is given by

$$Q_s = s - \frac{1}{2}. \quad (4.10)$$

The scalar fields $\phi^{(s)}$ satisfy the OPEs

$$\phi^{(s)}(z)\phi^{(s')}(w) \sim -\delta^{ss'} \log(z-w). \quad (4.11)$$

The central charge for $T_\phi^{(s)}$ is $c = 1 - 12Q_s^2$. This is equal to $-2(6s^2 - 6s + 1)$, which is the correct central charge for $T_{bc} = -sb\partial c + (1-s)\partial bc$, the energy-momentum tensor for a spin- s (b, c) system.

For fields satisfying $\phi(z)\phi(w) \sim -\log(z-w)$, one can show that

$$e^{a\phi(z)} e^{b\phi(w)} \sim (z-w)^{-ab} e^{(a+b)\phi(w)} + O((z-w)^{-ab+1}). \quad (4.12)$$

(Normal ordering is understood; for example, $e^{a\phi(z)}$ is a shorthand notation for $:e^{a\phi}:(z)$.) From this, one can prove that

$$e^{ip\phi}\partial^p e^{i\phi} = (-)^p p! e^{i(p+1)\phi} . \quad (4.13)$$

Applying this iteratively, we find that $\prod_{p=0}^{s-2} \partial^p c^{(s)}$ may be rewritten in bosonised form as $e^{i(s-1)\phi^{(s)}}$, up to an irrelevant constant factor. Thus, using (4.10), we may write (4.7) as

$$\begin{aligned} |0\rangle_{\text{gh}} &= \prod_{s=2}^n e^{i(Q_s - \frac{1}{2})\phi^{(s)}(0)} |0\rangle, \\ &= e^{i\phi^{(2)}(0)} e^{2i\phi^{(3)}(0)} \dots e^{i(n-1)\phi^{(n)}(0)} |0\rangle . \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

Since $[L_0, c_p^{(s)}] = -pc_p^{(s)}$, it follows from (4.5) that the constant a in (4.2) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} a &= \sum_{s=2}^n \sum_{p=1}^{s-1} p \\ &= \frac{1}{6} n(n^2 - 1). \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

This, then, is the value for the L_0 intercept for the W_n algebra [11]. If we consider $n = 2$, which is the Virasoro algebra, we recover the standard result $a = 1$; for $n = 3$, we obtain the result $a = 4$, given in [2].

To extend our discussions to supersymmetric algebras, we have to generalise the arguments to include the commuting (β, γ) ghost systems for the fermionic currents. For the $N = 1$ super-Virasoro algebra, this is most easily done by bosonising the (β, γ) ghosts for the spin- $\frac{3}{2}$ current $G(z)$ in terms of a scalar field σ and a pair of anti-commuting fields η and ξ with spins 1 and 0 respectively:

$$\beta \rightarrow \partial\xi e^{-\sigma}, \quad \gamma \rightarrow \eta e^{\sigma} , \quad (4.16)$$

where the fields satisfy the OPEs

$$\eta(z)\xi(w) \sim \frac{1}{z-w}, \quad \sigma(z)\sigma(w) \sim -\log(z-w). \quad (4.17)$$

The stress tensor $T_{\beta\gamma} = -\frac{3}{2}\beta\partial\gamma - \frac{1}{2}\partial\beta\gamma$ becomes

$$T_{\sigma\eta\xi} = -\frac{1}{2}(\partial\sigma)^2 - \partial^2\sigma - \eta\partial\xi . \quad (4.18)$$

From this we see that $e^{-\sigma}$ has spin $\frac{1}{2}$, and e^{σ} has spin $-\frac{3}{2}$. One can easily verify that the central charges of $T_{\beta\gamma}$ and $T_{\sigma\eta\xi}$ have the same value, namely $c = 11$.

The ghost vacuum for the $N = 1$ super-Virasoro algebra is obtained from the $SL(2, C)$ -invariant vacuum $|0\rangle$ as follows [12]:

$$|0\rangle_{\text{gh}} = c^{(2)}(0)e^{-\sigma(0)}|0\rangle. \quad (4.19)$$

This has the required property that β_r and γ_r annihilate it for $r \geq \frac{1}{2}$, but not for $r \leq -\frac{1}{2}$. Acting with the total ghost stress tensor T_{gh} , and looking at the $O((z-w)^{-2})$ term, one finds that the ghost vacuum has L_0^{gh} eigenvalue $-1 + \frac{1}{2} = -\frac{1}{2}$. Thus the L_0 intercept for the $N = 1$ super-Virasoro algebra is $a = \frac{1}{2}$. For the $N = 2$ super-Virasoro algebra, we need two ghost pairs (β, γ) and $(\bar{\beta}, \bar{\gamma})$ for the two spin- $\frac{3}{2}$ currents $G^+(z)$ and $G^-(z)$, and the corresponding ghost vacuum

$$|0\rangle_{\text{gh}} = c^{(2)}(0)e^{-[\sigma(0)+\bar{\sigma}(0)]}|0\rangle \quad (4.20)$$

will have L_0^{gh} eigenvalue $-1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} = 0$. Thus the L_0 intercept for the $N = 2$ super-Virasoro algebra is $a = 0$.

For the $N = 2$ super- W_n algebra, we must introduce a (b, c) ghost system for each bosonic current represented in (1.4), and a (β, γ) ghost system for each fermionic current in (1.4). The ghosts $\beta^{(s)}(z)$, $\gamma^{(s)}(z)$ and $\bar{\beta}^{(s)}(z)$, $\bar{\gamma}^{(s)}(z)$ for the complex-conjugate pair of spin- s fermionic currents can then be bosonised in terms of a scalar $\sigma^{(s)}$ and a pair of anticommuting fields $\eta^{(s)}$ and $\xi^{(s)}$ with spins 1 and 0 respectively, together with their conjugate set. Thus we have

$$\beta^{(s)} \rightarrow \partial\xi^{(s)}e^{-\sigma^{(s)}}, \quad \gamma^{(s)} \rightarrow \eta^{(s)}e^{\sigma^{(s)}}. \quad (4.21)$$

The stress tensor $T_{\beta\gamma} = -s\beta^{(s)}\partial\gamma^{(s)} + (1-s)\partial\beta^{(s)}\gamma^{(s)}$ becomes

$$T_{\sigma\eta\xi}^{(s)} = -\frac{1}{2}(\partial\sigma^{(s)})^2 - Q_s\partial^2\sigma^{(s)} - \eta^{(s)}\partial\xi^{(s)}, \quad (4.22)$$

where the background charge Q_s is given by

$$Q_s = s - \frac{1}{2}. \quad (4.23)$$

(Note that this is the same expression, now used for half-integer s , as that obtained in the bosonisation of the $b^{(s)}, c^{(s)}$ system (4.10).) Thus the operators $e^{-\sigma^{(s)}}$ and $e^{\sigma^{(s)}}$ have spins $s-1$ and $-s$ respectively. One can check that $T_{\beta\gamma}^{(s)}$ and $T_{\sigma\eta\xi}^{(s)}$ have the same central charge $c = 12s^2 - 12s + 2 = (1 + 12Q_s^2) - 2$. (A similar discussion applies, *mutatis mutandis*, for the ghost pairs $(\bar{\beta}^{(s)}, \bar{\gamma}^{(s)})$.)

We saw in (4.19) that the correct ghost vacuum for the $N = 1$ super-Virasoro algebra involves acting on the $SL(2, C)$ -invariant vacuum with the operator $e^{-\sigma(0)}$. For the $N = 2$ super- W_n case, it turns out that we should act on the $SL(2, C)$ -invariant vacuum with a factor $e^{-Q_s\sigma^{(s)}(0)}$ for each spin- s fermionic current represented in (1.4), *i.e.*, in all, a factor

$$e^{-\sigma^{(3/2)}(0)}e^{-2\sigma^{(5/2)}(0)}\dots e^{-(n-1)\sigma^{(n-1/2)}(0)}, \quad (4.24)$$

multiplied by a similar factor with scalars $\bar{\sigma}^{(s)}$, since there are two real fermionic currents at each spin. This structure for the fermionic factors in the ghost vacuum is dictated by the fact that the ghost vacuum $|0\rangle_{\text{gh}}$ should be annihilated by $\beta_r^{(s)}$ and $\gamma_r^{(s)}$ for all $r \geq \frac{1}{2}$, whilst all $\beta_r^{(s)}$ and $\gamma_r^{(s)}$ with $r \leq -\frac{1}{2}$ should not annihilate it. This requires that the coefficients of $-\sigma^{(s)}$ in the exponentials must be precisely equal to the background charges Q_s . The operator $e^{-Q_s\sigma^{(s)}}$ has conformal spin $\frac{1}{2}Q_s^2$, measured using (4.22). For each bosonic current of spin s represented in (1.4), we should act with a factor of $e^{i(Q_s - \frac{1}{2})\phi^{(s)}(0)}$, as in (4.14). This operator has conformal spin $-\frac{1}{2}Q_s^2 + \frac{1}{8} = -\frac{1}{2}s(s-1)$. Thus if we collect the results for the contributions coming from the ghosts for all the fields in an $N = 2$ supermultiplet, represented by the lozenge

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{cc} (s + \frac{1}{2}) & \\ s & (s + 1) \\ & (s + \frac{1}{2}) \end{array} \right\}, \quad (4.25)$$

we find that the total conformal spin of the operators for this supermultiplet that act on the $SL(2, C)$ -invariant vacuum is given by

$$\left(-\frac{1}{2}Q_s^2 + \frac{1}{8}\right) + 2 \times \left(\frac{1}{2}Q_{s+\frac{1}{2}}^2\right) + \left(-\frac{1}{2}Q_{s+1}^2 + \frac{1}{8}\right) = 0. \quad (4.26)$$

Thus each $N = 2$ supermultiplet contributes 0 to the L_0^{gh} eigenvalue, and so the L_0 intercept for any of the $N = 2$ super- W_n algebras is given by $a = 0$. The corresponding ghost vacuum is given by

$$|0\rangle_{\text{gh}} = \left(\prod_{s=2}^n e^{i(Q_s - \frac{1}{2})\phi^{(s)}(0)}\right) \left(\prod_{s=1}^{n-1} e^{i(Q_s - \frac{1}{2})\tilde{\phi}^{(s)}(0)}\right) \left(\prod_{s=\frac{3}{2}}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-Q_s[\sigma^{(s)}(0) + \bar{\sigma}^{(s)}(0)]}\right) |0\rangle, \quad (4.27)$$

where $\phi^{(s)}$ denotes the bosonised ghost fields for the bosonic currents sitting on the right-hand side of each lozenge in (1.4), and $\tilde{\phi}^{(s)}$ denotes the bosonised ghost fields for the bosonic currents sitting on the left-hand side of each lozenge. The fields $\sigma^{(s)}$ and $\bar{\sigma}^{(s)}$ are the scalars in the bosonisation of the (β, γ) and $(\bar{\beta}, \bar{\gamma})$ ghost systems for the fermionic currents in each lozenge.

5. The Intercepts for the Super- W_3 Algebra

In the previous section we showed that the L_0 intercepts could be calculated for the bosonic W_n algebras and the $N = 2$ super- W_n algebras, by studying the structure of the ghost vacuum. In principle, one could use the same method to calculate the intercepts for higher-spin generators in the algebras. However, because of the nonlinearities of these algebras, the structures of the higher-spin ghost currents are complicated, involving products of ghost

fields and matter currents. Therefore we choose to adopt a different method to calculate the higher-spin intercepts. Our principal goal in this section is to find the intercepts for the $N = 2$ super- W_3 algebra.

The idea of this method is that one may deduce the intercepts, which are the eigenvalues of the zero modes of the bosonic currents acting on physical states, by choosing certain simple examples of physical states, namely null states. The basic idea has been used, for example in [13], in the case of the Virasoro and the super-Virasoro algebras. In those cases, the method could be used at the level of the abstract algebra since the commutation relations are comparatively simple. In the case of the super- W_3 algebra, however, the complexities of the highly-nonlinear commutation relations [9] make this approach rather unattractive. Instead, we find that it is easier to work with a specific realisation of the algebra. In fact we shall use the Miura realisation that we discussed in section 2. It should be emphasised, however, that the use of a specific realisation is merely a device for obtaining results that could equally well be obtained (in principle) by using the commutation relations of the abstract algebra. To illustrate how this method works, we shall first use it to calculate the W_0 intercept for the bosonic W_3 algebra.

The Miura transformation gives a realisation of W_3 in terms of two real scalars [3]:

$$T = \frac{1}{2}(\partial\varphi_1)^2 + \frac{1}{2}(\partial\varphi_2)^2 + (a_1\partial^2\varphi_1 + a_2\partial^2\varphi_2) , \quad (5.1a)$$

$$W = \frac{1}{3}(\partial\varphi_1)^3 - \partial\varphi_1(\partial\varphi_2)^2 + (a_1\partial\varphi_1\partial^2\varphi_1 - 2a_2\partial\varphi_1\partial^2\varphi_2 - a_1\partial\varphi_2\partial^2\varphi_2) \\ + \left(\frac{1}{3}a_1^2\partial^3\varphi_1 - a_1a_2\partial^3\varphi_2\right) , \quad (5.1b)$$

where $a_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}a_1$, and the central charge is given by $c = 2 + 16a_1^2$. One example of a null physical state is $|p\rangle \equiv P(0)|0\rangle_{\text{mat}}$, where $|0\rangle_{\text{mat}}$ stands for the $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ -invariant matter vacuum, and

$$P(z) \equiv (L_{-1} + gW_{-1})e^{\beta \cdot \varphi(z)} . \quad (5.2)$$

Here g is a constant parameter and $\beta \cdot \varphi = \beta_1\varphi_1 + \beta_2\varphi_2$. Note that $L_{-1}e^{\beta \cdot \varphi}$ can be obtained as the coefficient of the $\frac{1}{(z-w)}$ term in the OPE of $T(z)e^{\beta \cdot \varphi(w)}$. Similarly, $W_{-1}e^{\beta \cdot \varphi}$ can be obtained as the coefficient of the $\frac{1}{(z-w)^2}$ term in the OPE of $W(z)e^{\beta \cdot \varphi(w)}$.

Defining the eigenvalues of L_0 and W_0 acting on $e^{\beta \cdot \varphi(0)}$ by

$$L_0 e^{\beta \cdot \varphi(0)} = \Delta e^{\beta \cdot \varphi(0)} , \\ W_0 e^{\beta \cdot \varphi(0)} = \omega e^{\beta \cdot \varphi(0)} , \quad (5.3)$$

we find that

$$\Delta = -a_1\beta_1 - a_2\beta_2 + \frac{1}{2}\beta_1^2 + \frac{1}{2}\beta_2^2 , \\ \omega = \frac{1}{3}(\beta_1 - a_1)(\beta_1^2 - 3\beta_2^2 + 6a_2\beta_2 - 2a_1\beta_1) . \quad (5.4)$$

Physical states must be annihilated by L_m and W_m with $m \geq 1$. On the state defined by (5.2), the only non-trivial conditions are $L_1 P(0) = 0$ and $W_1 P(0) = 0$. These give

$$\begin{aligned} 2\Delta + 3g\omega &= 0 , \\ 3\omega + 2g(a_1^2 + 2\Delta)\Delta &= 0 . \end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

Defining the eigenvalues L_0 and W_0 acting on $P(0)$ to be a and λ , given by

$$\begin{aligned} L_0 P(0) &= a P(0) , \\ W_0 P(0) &= \lambda P(0) , \end{aligned} \tag{5.6}$$

we find that they are related to Δ and ω by

$$\begin{aligned} a &= 1 + \Delta , \\ \lambda &= \omega + \frac{2}{g} . \end{aligned} \tag{5.7}$$

But, as we showed in (4.15), the L_0 intercept is $a = 4$ for the W_3 algebra, which implies from (5.7) that $\Delta = 3$ and hence from (5.5) that $\omega = -2/g$. It then follows from (5.7) that $\lambda = 0$; in other words, the intercept for W_0 is zero. Note that this result is obtained without fixing any specific value for the background charge. Thus the intercepts are determined by this method without requiring that the anomaly-free condition for the central charge c be imposed.

This method can also be applied to the $N = 2$ super- W_3 algebra. In this case, we use the super-Miura realisation given in section 2. The explicit expressions for the currents in terms of components are given in the Appendix. The component fields in this realisation comprise the bosons $\phi_1, \bar{\phi}_1, \phi_2, \bar{\phi}_2$ and fermions $\psi_1, \bar{\psi}_1, \psi_2, \bar{\psi}_2$. The OPE's for these fields are given in (A.4). The simplest null physical states for this realisation can be constructed as $|p\rangle \equiv P(0)|0\rangle_{\text{mat}}$, where

$$\begin{aligned} P(z) &\equiv -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(G_{-1/2}^+ + g U_{-1/2}^+ \right) e^{\beta \cdot \bar{\phi} + \bar{\beta} \cdot \phi} \\ &= \left[\bar{\beta}_1 \left(1 - \frac{g}{4} \left(\frac{2}{3} \alpha_0^2 + \alpha_0 \beta_2 \right) \right) \psi_1 + \bar{\beta}_2 \left(1 - \frac{g}{4} \left(-\frac{1}{3} \alpha_0^2 + \alpha_0 \beta_1 - \alpha_0 \bar{\beta}_1 - \alpha_0 \bar{\beta}_2 \right) \right) \psi_2 \right] e^{\beta \cdot \bar{\phi} + \bar{\beta} \cdot \phi} . \end{aligned} \tag{5.8}$$

It is convenient to introduce the quantities j , Δ , ν and ω , defined as the eigenvalues of J_0 , L_0 , V_0 and W_0 acting on the operator $e^{\beta \cdot \bar{\phi}(0) + \bar{\beta} \cdot \phi(0)}$:

$$\begin{aligned} J_0 e^{\beta \cdot \bar{\phi}(0) + \bar{\beta} \cdot \phi(0)} &= j e^{\beta \cdot \bar{\phi}(0) + \bar{\beta} \cdot \phi(0)} , \\ L_0 e^{\beta \cdot \bar{\phi}(0) + \bar{\beta} \cdot \phi(0)} &= \Delta e^{\beta \cdot \bar{\phi}(0) + \bar{\beta} \cdot \phi(0)} , \\ V_0 e^{\beta \cdot \bar{\phi}(0) + \bar{\beta} \cdot \phi(0)} &= \nu e^{\beta \cdot \bar{\phi}(0) + \bar{\beta} \cdot \phi(0)} , \\ W_0 e^{\beta \cdot \bar{\phi}(0) + \bar{\beta} \cdot \phi(0)} &= \omega e^{\beta \cdot \bar{\phi}(0) + \bar{\beta} \cdot \phi(0)} . \end{aligned} \tag{5.9}$$

Using the realisation in Appendix, we find after some algebra that these eigenvalues can be written in terms of the momentum components $\beta_1, \bar{\beta}_1, \beta_2, \bar{\beta}_2$ as

$$\begin{aligned}
j &= -\alpha_0\beta_1 - \alpha_0\beta_2 + \alpha_0\bar{\beta}_1 + 2\alpha_0\bar{\beta}_2 , \\
\Delta &= -\frac{1}{2}\alpha_0\beta_1 - \frac{1}{2}\alpha_0\beta_2 - \frac{1}{2}\alpha_0\bar{\beta}_1 - \alpha_0\bar{\beta}_2 - \beta_1\bar{\beta}_1 - \beta_2\bar{\beta}_2 , \\
\nu &= \frac{1}{12}\alpha_0^2 \left(2\alpha_0\beta_1 - \alpha_0\beta_2 - \alpha_0\bar{\beta}_1 + \alpha_0\bar{\beta}_2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. - 3\beta_1\bar{\beta}_2 - 2\beta_2\bar{\beta}_2 + 3\beta_1\beta_2 + \beta_1\bar{\beta}_1 - 3\beta_2\bar{\beta}_1 + 3\bar{\beta}_1\bar{\beta}_2 + 3\bar{\beta}_2^2 \right) , \\
\omega &= \omega' - \frac{3 + 8\alpha_0^2}{4(4 + 9\alpha_0^2)}j\Delta ,
\end{aligned} \tag{5.10}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega' &= -\frac{1}{6}\alpha_0 \left(-2\alpha_0^2\beta_1 + \alpha_0^2\beta_2 - \alpha_0^2\bar{\beta}_1 + \alpha_0^2\bar{\beta}_2 \right. \\
&\quad - 3\alpha_0\beta_1\beta_2 - 3\alpha_0\beta_1\bar{\beta}_1 - 3\alpha_0\beta_1\bar{\beta}_2 + 3\alpha_0\beta_2\bar{\beta}_2 + 3\alpha_0\bar{\beta}_1\bar{\beta}_2 + 3\alpha_0\bar{\beta}_2^2 \\
&\quad \left. - 3\beta_1\beta_2\bar{\beta}_1 - 3\beta_1\beta_2\bar{\beta}_2 + 3\beta_2\bar{\beta}_1\bar{\beta}_2 + 3\beta_2\bar{\beta}_2^2 \right) .
\end{aligned} \tag{5.11}$$

The physical operator defined by (5.8) must satisfy the physical-state conditions, namely $G_r^- P(0) = 0$ and $U_r^- P(0) = 0$ for $r \geq \frac{1}{2}$. The only non-trivial conditions in this case will therefore be $G_{1/2}^- P(0) = 0$ and $U_{1/2}^- P(0) = 0$. These give

$$0 = 2(\Delta - \frac{1}{2}j) + g(\omega' - 2\nu) , \tag{5.12a}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= -3\alpha_0^2g(\Delta - \frac{1}{2}j)^2 + 2\alpha_0^4g(\Delta - \frac{1}{2}j) + 6(12 - \alpha_0^2g)(\omega' - 2\nu) \\
&\quad - 36g\nu(\Delta - \frac{1}{2}j) + 18gj(\omega' - 2\nu) .
\end{aligned} \tag{5.12b}$$

From these equations, it follows that there is a solution which is independent of α_0 and g , with

$$\Delta - \frac{1}{2}j = 0 , \quad \text{and} \quad \omega' - 2\nu = 0 . \tag{5.13}$$

(There is an alternative solution of these equations, but as we shall see later, (5.13) is the relevant one.)

The physical operator $P(0)$ must be an eigenstate of the zero modes of all the bosonic operators. Thus we may define ρ, a, μ, λ as the eigenvalues for J_0, L_0, V_0, W_0 on the physical operator $P(0)$; *i.e.*

$$\begin{aligned}
J_0 P(0) &= \rho P(0) , \\
L_0 P(0) &= a P(0) , \\
V_0 P(0) &= \mu P(0) , \\
W_0 P(0) &= \lambda P(0) .
\end{aligned} \tag{5.14}$$

The physical state defined by (5.8) is automatically an eigenstate of J_0 and L_0 for all values of g , and we find

$$\begin{aligned}\rho &= j + 1 , \\ a &= \Delta + \frac{1}{2} .\end{aligned}\tag{5.15}$$

For $P(0)$ to be an eigenstate of V_0 , we find that either the momenta must satisfy the condition

$$\alpha_0 - \beta_1 + \beta_2 + \bar{\beta}_1 + \bar{\beta}_2 = 0,\tag{5.16a}$$

or the constant g in (5.8) must satisfy one or other of the conditions

$$-12 + 2\alpha_0^2 g + 3\alpha_0 g \beta_2 - 3\alpha_0 g \bar{\beta}_2 = 0\tag{5.16b}$$

or

$$12 + \alpha_0^2 g - 3\alpha_0 g \beta_1 + 3\alpha_0 g \bar{\beta}_1 + 3\alpha_0 g \bar{\beta}_2 = 0 .\tag{5.16c}$$

For the second possibility, (5.16b), the corresponding eigenvalue is given by

$$\mu = \nu + \frac{1}{4}j - \frac{1}{12}\alpha_0^2 + \frac{1}{g} .\tag{5.17}$$

(We shall discuss the possibilities (5.16a) and (5.16c) later.)

For $P(0)$ to be an eigenstate of W_0 , we find that either the condition (5.16a) must again be satisfied, or that g must satisfy

$$18g^2\omega' + 3g(12 + \alpha_0^2 g)\Delta + \frac{3}{2}g(12 - \alpha_0^2 g)j - 2(12 + \alpha_0^2 g)(-6 + \alpha_0^2 g) = 0 .\tag{5.18}$$

For the case (5.18), the eigenvalue λ can then be written in the form

$$\lambda = \omega' + \frac{2}{g} + \frac{5(1 + 2\alpha_0^2)}{4(4 + 9\alpha_0^2)}(\Delta + \frac{1}{2}j) - \frac{(3 + 8\alpha_0^2)}{4(4 + 9\alpha_0^2)}j\Delta - \frac{(9 + 40\alpha_0^2 + 36\alpha_0^4)}{24(4 + 9\alpha_0^2)} .\tag{5.19}$$

At this stage in the argument, we recall from section 4 that the L_0 intercept a for the $N = 2$ super- W_3 algebra is 0. Hence from (5.15) we see that $\Delta = -\frac{1}{2}$, and then from (5.13) we find $j = -1$. Note that this implies, from (5.15), that $\rho = 0$. In other words, the intercept for J_0 is zero. In fact, there is an elementary argument for why the J_0 intercept has to be zero. The super- W_3 algebra has a charge-conjugation symmetry [9]:

$$J \leftrightarrow -J, \quad G^+ \leftrightarrow G^-, \quad T \leftrightarrow T ;\tag{5.20}$$

$$V \leftrightarrow -V, \quad U^+ \leftrightarrow U^-, \quad W \leftrightarrow W .$$

This symmetry would be broken if J_0 or V_0 had a non-vanishing intercept. Thus we must have

$$\rho = 0 , \quad \mu = 0 .\tag{5.21}$$

(This independent argument gives a justification for why (5.13) is the preferred solution of (5.12a, b).) This argument extends straightforwardly to show that the intercepts for all the bosonic currents sitting on the left-hand sides of the lozenges in (1.4) must be zero for any of the $N = 2$ super- W_n algebras.

There is also another way to see why the J_0 intercept is zero. Although the ghost-vacuum argument that we used in section 4 to determine the L_0 intercept does not easily extend to higher-spin currents, because of the nonlinearities of the algebra, it can be used quite straightforwardly in the case of the spin-1 current, since the spin-1 ghost current J^{gh} cannot receive any matter-dependent nonlinear modifications. The generator J_0^{gh} counts the difference between the numbers of ghosts for fermions and conjugate-fermions in the ghost vacuum, and so, since these are equal, we have $J_0^{\text{gh}} = 0$ and hence the J_0 intercept vanishes.

If we now take the expression (5.19) for the eigenvalue λ of W_0 , and use (5.17), together with the results that $\Delta = -\frac{1}{2}$ and $j = -1$, we find that the W_0 and V_0 eigenvalues of $P(0)$ are related by

$$\lambda - 2\mu = 0 . \quad (5.22)$$

Combining this with $\mu = 0$ in (5.21), coming from the charge-conjugation symmetry of the algebra, we finally arrive at the conclusion that $\lambda = 0$. Thus we have shown that the intercepts for all four bosonic currents in the $N = 2$ super- W_3 algebra are zero; *i.e.* acting on physical states, we have

$$J_0 = 0, \quad L_0 = 0, \quad V_0 = 0, \quad W_0 = 0. \quad (5.23)$$

Note that this result was arrived at by considering special physical null states of the form (5.8), with the constant g satisfying the condition (5.16b). Of course the conclusions that one arrives at by looking at any other physical state must be consistent with this. In particular, one can check that indeed for the alternative choices of conditions (5.16a) or (5.16c), one arrives at the same conclusions.

6. Conclusions and Discussions

In this paper we have shown how the two-complex-superfield realisation for the $N = 2$ super- W_3 algebra, obtained from the super-Miura transformation, may be generalised to give realisations in terms of an arbitrary number of complex superfields. Such realisations will be of importance for constructing super- W_3 strings. In order to build super- W_3 string theory, one also needs to know the conditions for anomaly freedom for the algebra; in other words one needs to know the intercepts for the bosonic generators, and the critical central charge, which would be necessary in order to have a nilpotent BRST operator. We have studied the structure of the ghost vacuum for the $N = 2$ super- W_n algebras, and have shown how one may deduce from this that the L_0 intercept is zero for all n . Then, by considering certain especially-simple physical states that are null, we have shown by explicit computation

that all the other bosonic currents in the $N = 2$ super- W_3 algebra have zero intercept too. It would be interesting to see whether this result generalises to all the $N = 2$ super- W_n algebras.

The critical value of the central charge is easily calculated for all the $N = 2$ super- W_n algebras. We know that the ghosts for a current of spin s give a contribution of

$$c_{\text{gh}}(s) = (-)^{2s+1}(12s^2 - 12s + 2) \quad (6.1)$$

to the total ghost central charge. Therefore the total contribution from the ghosts for the fields in the lozenge (4.25) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} & - \left(12s^2 - 12s + 2\right) + 2 \left(12\left(s + \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 - 12\left(s + \frac{1}{2}\right) + 2\right) - \left(12(s+1)^2 - 12(s+1) + 2\right) \\ & = -6. \end{aligned} \quad (6.2)$$

Thus each lozenge, independently of the spins of its currents, gives a contribution of -6 to the total ghost central charge. The condition for anomaly freedom of the $N = 2$ super- W_n algebra is therefore that the central charge c for the matter fields should satisfy

$$c = 6(n - 1). \quad (6.3)$$

For the $N = 2$ super- W_3 algebra, we obtain $c = 12$, which was already given in [9]. From (3.6), it follows that we must take $\alpha_0^2 = \frac{1}{3}$ for any of the realisations discussed in section 3.

These results provide the essential ingredients for the construction of the $N = 2$ super- W_3 string. Work on this is in progress [14].

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are very grateful to Larry Romans for extensive discussions, and for writing a Mathematica program for calculating the expansion of the super-Miura transformation (2.3) for the super- W_n algebra. We have also made extensive use of a Mathematica package for calculating operator-product expansions, written by K. Thielemans [15].

APPENDIX

In section 2, we have given general expressions for the two $N = 2$ supercurrents of the super- W_3 algebra, namely $T(z, \theta^+, \theta^-)$ and $\widetilde{W}(z, \theta^+ \theta^-)$, in terms of the $N = 2$ superfields $\Phi_1^\pm$ and $\Phi_2^\pm$. The supercurrent $\widetilde{W}(z, \theta^+ \theta^-)$ is not primary. Here we give explicit expressions, in terms of components, for the all the primary bosonic and fermionic currents. The first lozenge in (1.3), corresponding to the the $N = 2$ supercurrent $T(z, \theta^+, \theta^-)$, has component currents (J, G^+, G^-, T) that are already primary (except that there is, of course, a central term in the Virasoro algebra). The component currents, defined by (2.12), are given by:

$$\begin{aligned}
J &= -\psi_1 \bar{\psi}_1 - \psi_2 \bar{\psi}_2 + \alpha_0 (\partial \phi_1 - \partial \bar{\phi}_1 + \partial \phi_2 - 2\partial \bar{\phi}_2) , \\
G^+ &= \sqrt{2} (\partial \bar{\phi}_1 \psi_1 + \partial \bar{\phi}_2 \psi_2 + \alpha_0 \partial \psi_1 + \alpha_0 \partial \psi_2) , \\
G^- &= \sqrt{2} (\partial \phi_1 \bar{\psi}_1 + \partial \phi_2 \bar{\psi}_2 + \alpha_0 \partial \bar{\psi}_1 + 2\alpha_0 \partial \bar{\psi}_2) , \\
T &= \frac{1}{2} \psi_1 \partial \bar{\psi}_1 - \frac{1}{2} \partial \psi_1 \bar{\psi}_1 - \partial \phi_1 \partial \bar{\phi}_1 + \frac{1}{2} \psi_2 \partial \bar{\psi}_2 - \frac{1}{2} \partial \psi_2 \bar{\psi}_2 - \partial \phi_2 \partial \bar{\phi}_2 \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} \alpha_0 \partial^2 \phi_1 - \frac{1}{2} \alpha_0 \partial^2 \bar{\phi}_1 - \frac{1}{2} \alpha_0 \partial^2 \phi_2 - \alpha_0 \partial^2 \bar{\phi}_2 .
\end{aligned} \tag{A.1}$$

For the second lozenge in (1.3), corresponding to the $N = 2$ supercurrent $\widetilde{W}(z, \theta^+, \theta^-)$, we must add terms involving derivatives and products of currents from the $T(z, \theta^+, \theta^-)$ supercurrent in order to achieve primary currents. There is some degree of arbitrariness in how to do this. Here, we present the specific choices that we have found to be most useful, and which we have used for our calculations. Our primary currents are

$$\begin{aligned}
V &= \widetilde{V} - \frac{1}{8} \alpha_0^2 \partial J - \frac{1}{12} \alpha_0^2 T, \\
U^+ &= \widetilde{U}^+ - \frac{1}{12} \alpha_0^2 \partial G^+, \\
U^- &= \widetilde{U}^- + \frac{1}{6} \alpha_0^2 \partial G^-, \\
W &= \widetilde{W} - \frac{1}{24} \alpha_0^2 \partial^2 J - \frac{1}{4} \alpha_0^2 \partial T - \frac{(3 + 8\alpha_0^2)}{4(4 + 9\alpha_0^2)} JT.
\end{aligned} \tag{A.2}$$

Note that the product JT is normal ordered with respect to the modes of J and T .

The non-primary component currents $\widetilde{V}$, $\widetilde{U}^+$, $\widetilde{U}^-$ and $\widetilde{W}$, defined by (2.12), take the component form:

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{V} &= \frac{1}{4} \alpha_0^3 (\partial^2 \bar{\phi}_2 - \partial^2 \phi_2) \\
&\quad + \alpha_0^2 \left(\frac{1}{4} \partial J + \frac{1}{4} \partial (\psi_2 \bar{\psi}_2) - \frac{1}{4} \partial \bar{\phi}_2 \partial \bar{\phi}_2 - \frac{1}{4} \partial \phi_2 \partial \phi_2 + \frac{1}{2} \partial \phi_2 \partial \bar{\phi}_2 + \frac{1}{4} \partial \psi_2 \bar{\psi}_2 \right) \\
&\quad + \alpha_0 \left(\frac{1}{4} \partial \phi_2 J - \frac{1}{4} \partial \bar{\phi}_2 J + \frac{1}{2} \partial \phi_2 \psi_2 \bar{\psi}_2 - \frac{1}{4} \partial \bar{\phi}_2 \psi_2 \bar{\psi}_2 + \frac{1}{8} \sqrt{2} \bar{\psi}_2 G^+ \right) - \frac{1}{4} \psi_2 \bar{\psi}_2 J,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{U}^+ = & -\frac{1}{4}\sqrt{2}\alpha_0^3\partial^2\psi_2 + \sqrt{2}\alpha_0^2\left(\frac{1}{8}\sqrt{2}\partial G^+ - \frac{1}{4}\partial(\partial\bar{\phi}_2\psi_2) - \frac{1}{2}\partial\psi_2\partial\phi_2 + \frac{1}{2}\partial\bar{\phi}_2\partial\psi_2 - \frac{1}{4}\partial\psi_2\partial\bar{\phi}_2\right) \\ & + \sqrt{2}\alpha_0\left(\frac{1}{8}\sqrt{2}\partial\phi_2 G^+ + \frac{1}{4}\partial\psi_2 J + \frac{1}{2}\partial\psi_2\psi_2\bar{\psi}_2 - \frac{1}{2}\partial\phi_2\psi_2\partial\bar{\phi}_2 + \frac{1}{4}(\partial\bar{\phi}_2)^2\psi_2\right) \\ & - \frac{1}{4}\psi_2\bar{\psi}_2 G^+ + \frac{1}{4}\sqrt{2}\psi_2\partial\bar{\phi}_2 J,\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{U}^- = & \frac{1}{4}\sqrt{2}\alpha_0^3\partial^2\bar{\psi}_2 + \sqrt{2}\alpha_0^2\left(-\frac{1}{8}\sqrt{2}\partial G^- + \frac{1}{4}\partial(\partial\phi_2\bar{\psi}_2) - \frac{1}{2}\partial\bar{\psi}_2\partial\bar{\phi}_2 + \frac{1}{2}\partial\phi_2\partial\bar{\psi}_2 + \frac{1}{4}\partial^2\phi_2\bar{\psi}_2\right) \\ & + \sqrt{2}\alpha_0\left(\frac{1}{8}\sqrt{2}\partial\bar{\phi}_2 G^- - \frac{1}{8}\sqrt{2}\partial\phi_2 G^- - \frac{1}{4}\partial\bar{\psi}_2 J + \frac{1}{2}(\partial\phi_2)^2\bar{\psi}_2 - \frac{1}{4}\partial\bar{\psi}_2\psi_2\bar{\psi}_2\right. \\ & \left.- \frac{1}{4}\partial\bar{\phi}_2\partial\phi_2\bar{\psi}_2 + \frac{1}{4}\bar{\psi}_2(T - \frac{1}{2}\partial J)\right) + \frac{1}{4}\psi_2\bar{\psi}_2 G^- - \frac{1}{4}\sqrt{2}\partial\phi_2\bar{\psi}_2 J,\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{W} = & \frac{1}{4}\alpha_0^3\left(\partial^3\phi_2 + \partial^3\bar{\phi}_2\right) + \frac{1}{2}\alpha_0^2\left(\partial T + \partial^2\psi_2\bar{\psi}_2 - \frac{1}{2}\psi_2\partial^2\bar{\psi}_2 + \frac{3}{2}\partial\psi_2\partial\bar{\psi}_2\right. \\ & \left.+ \partial^2\phi_2\partial\bar{\phi}_2 + 2\partial\phi_2\partial^2\bar{\phi}_2 - \partial^2\bar{\phi}_2\partial\bar{\phi}_2 + \partial\phi_2\partial^2\phi_2\right) \\ & + \frac{1}{4}\alpha_0\left(\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}\partial\bar{\psi}_2 G^+ - \sqrt{2}\partial\psi_2 G^- + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}\bar{\psi}_2\partial G^+ - \partial^2\bar{\phi}_2 J - 2\partial\bar{\phi}_2 T + 2\partial\bar{\phi}_2(T - \frac{1}{2}\partial J)\right. \\ & \left.- \partial^2\phi_2 J + 2\partial\phi_2 T + 6\partial\phi_2\partial\psi_2\bar{\psi}_2 - 2\partial\phi_2\psi_2\partial\bar{\psi}_2 - 2\partial^2\phi_2\psi_2\bar{\psi}_2 + 3\partial\bar{\phi}_2\psi_2\partial\bar{\psi}_2\right. \\ & \left.- \partial\bar{\phi}_2\partial\psi_2\bar{\psi}_2 - \partial^2\bar{\phi}_2\psi_2\bar{\psi}_2 + 4(\partial\phi_2)^2\partial\bar{\phi}_2 - 2(\partial\bar{\phi}_2)^2\partial\phi_2\right) \\ & + \frac{1}{4}\sqrt{2}\partial\phi_2\bar{\psi}_2 G^+ - \frac{1}{4}\sqrt{2}\partial\bar{\phi}_2\psi_2 G^- - \frac{1}{4}(\partial\psi_2\bar{\psi}_2 - \psi_2\partial\bar{\psi}_2 + 2\partial\phi_2\partial\bar{\phi}_2)J - \frac{1}{2}\psi_2\bar{\psi}_2 T.(A.3)\end{aligned}$$

Note that terms involving a product of a current and one or more fields are normal ordered with respect to the fields in the product and those contained in the currents.

The OPEs of the fields are

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_i(z)\bar{\phi}_j(w) & \sim -\delta_{ij}\log(z-w), \\ \psi_i(z)\bar{\psi}_j(w) & \sim -\frac{\delta_{ij}}{(z-w)}, \quad i, j = 1, 2.\end{aligned}\tag{A.4}$$

For some purposes it is convenient to work with a choice of primary fields which can be written into $N = 2$ superfield language. The supercurrent W' given by

$$W'(z, \theta^+, \theta^-) = \widetilde{W} + b_1 : TT : + b_2 \partial T + b_3 (D^+ D^- - D^- D^+) T \tag{A.5}$$

is primary [10], where

$$\begin{aligned}b_1 & = -\frac{(3 + 8\alpha_0^2)}{2(5 + 18\alpha_0^2)} \\ b_2 & = -\frac{1}{4}\alpha_0^2 \\ b_3 & = \frac{(1 + 3\alpha_0^2)(1 - 2\alpha_0^2)}{8(5 + 18\alpha_0^2)}.\end{aligned}\tag{A.6}$$

In component language, this becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
V'(z) &= \tilde{V} + \frac{1}{4}b_1 J^2 + \frac{1}{2}b_2 \partial J + 2b_3 T , \\
U'^+(z) &= \tilde{U}^+ + \frac{1}{4}b_1 (JG^+ + G^+ J) + (\frac{1}{2}b_2 - b_3) \partial G^+ , \\
U'^-(z) &= \tilde{U}^- - \frac{1}{4}b_1 (JG^- + G^- J) - (\frac{1}{2}b_2 + b_3) \partial G^- , \\
W'(z) &= \widetilde{W} + b_1 (\frac{1}{2}TJ + \frac{1}{2}JT + \frac{1}{4}G^- G^+ - \frac{1}{4}G^+ G^-) + b_2 \partial T + b_3 \partial^2 J ,
\end{aligned} \tag{A.7}$$

where the products of currents are normal ordered with respect to the modes of the currents themselves. This choice of primary currents differs from the one given in (A.2), which we found convenient to use for the calculations in section 5. The choice (A.7) is the unique one that can be written in $N = 2$ superfield language, as in (A.5).

REFERENCES

- [1] A.B. Zamolodchikov, *Teo. Mat. Fiz.* **65** (1985) 347.
- [2] J. Thierry-Mieg, *Phys. Lett.* **197B** (1987) 368.
- [3] V.A. Fateev and A. Zamolodchikov, *Nucl. Phys.* **B280** (1987) 644;
V.A. Fateev and S. Lukyanov, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A3** (1988) 507.
- [4] L.J. Romans, *Nucl. Phys.* **B352** (1991) 829.
- [5] C.N. Pope, L.J. Romans and K.S. Stelle, *Phys. Lett.* **268B** (1991) 167.
- [6] C.N. Pope, L.J. Romans and K.S. Stelle, “On W_3 strings,” preprint CERN-TH.6171/91, *Phys. Lett.* **B** (in press).
- [7] C.N. Pope, L.J. Romans E. Sezgin and K.S. Stelle, “The W_3 String Spectrum,” preprint CTP TAMU-68/91.
- [8] H. Lu, C.N. Pope, L.J. Romans, X. Shen and X.J. Wang, *Phys. Lett.* **264B** (1991) 91.
- [9] L.J. Romans, “The $N = 2$ super- W_3 algebra,” preprint USC-91/HEP06.
- [10] D. Nemeschansky and S. Yankielowicz, “ $N = 2$ W -algebras, Kazama-Suzuki models and Drinfeld-Sokolov reduction,” preprint USC-91/005.
- [11] S.R. Das, A. Dhar and S.K. Rama, “Physical properties of W gravities and W strings,” preprint, TIFR/TH/91-11;
“Physical states and scaling properties of W gravities and W strings,” preprint, TIFR/TH/91-20.
- [12] M.E. Peskin, “Introduction to String and Superstring Theory II,” Lectures presented at the 1986 Theoretical Advanced Study Institute, in Particle Physics, UC Santa Cruz.
- [13] M.B. Green, J.H. Schwarz and E. Witten, “Superstring Theory,” (CUP 1987).
- [14] H. Lu, C.N. Pope, X.J. Wang and K.W. Xu, Work in progress.
- [15] K. Thielemans, “A Mathematica Package for Computing Operator Product Expansions,” preprint, Leuven, May 1991.